1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

DROPLETS, INC.,

v.

YAHOO! INC.,

Case No. 12-cv-03733-JST

Plaintiff,

VERDICT FORM

Defendant.

We, the jury, duly empaneled and sworn in the above-entitled action, answer the questions posed to us as follows:

## Question No. 1:

For each of the following Yahoo! products, has Droplets proven that it is more likely than not that Yahoo! infringed any of the following claims of the '745 patent?

"Yes" is a finding for Droplets. "No" is a finding for Yahoo!.

	Yahoo! Search Suggest	Yahoo! Search History	Yahoo! Mail	Yahoo! My Yahoo	Yahoo! Maps
Claim 1	Ves	NO	NO	NO	NO
Claim 5	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO

If you answered "yes" to any part of Question 1, please answer Question 2. If you answered "no" to all of Question 1, please stop here, answer no further questions, and have the presiding juror sign and date this form.

28

1					
2	Question No. 2:				
3	What is the dollar amount Droplets has proven it is entitled to as a reasonable royalty for				
4	past infringement?				
5	s 15 million USID				
6	\$ 1 TANIMON VOID				
7	Please answer Question 3.				
8					
9	Question No. 3:				
10	Has Droplets proven that it is more likely than not that Yahoo!'s infringement of the '745				
11	patent was willful? "Yes" is a finding for Droplets. "No" is a finding for Yahoo!.				
12					
13	Yes (Willful) No (Not Willful)				
14					
15	Please have the presiding juror sign and date this form.				
16	2/20/2020 Marke . H. 1.				
17	Dated: 3/29/2022 Signed: Mulling Hayling Presiding Jurer				
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					
26					
27					